Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/050,376	RIES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: Aba	andoned
(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.	(3)	
(2) <u>Alan Stempel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 September 2005	Time: <u>1:15pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
,		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicants' representative confirmed that the application is aban		DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar αρρί: cat; on is abundaned. 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Leben Inda		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Communication Re: Appeal	10/050,376	RIES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:			
(a) it was not timely filed.			
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).			
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.			
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$			
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.			
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on			
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:			
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).			
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$			
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).			
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED to	pecause:		
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.			
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.			
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on			
(d) ⊠ other: <u>No brief was filed.</u>			
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:			
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.			
 (b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED. 			
(c) is before the examiner for consideration.			
		AEED, Philip EXAMINER Solution	
	<u>K</u>		

Application No.

Applicant(s)